

Data collection duel: Typing vs. Talking


How Fulcrum AI-powered FastFill packs a punch for field teams

Step into the ring as we pit traditional typing against modern voice technology in field data collection. Which method speeds through the workload accurately, and which taps out? **Let’s find out.**




Typing


Typing: Manual input on mobile devices averages 20–35 words per minute, slowed by small screens and touch interfaces.




Typing: Prone to human error: typos, missed fields, and inconsistencies can sneak in.



Typing: Requires a keyboard or touchscreen. Not ideal for fieldwork or fast-paced environments.




Typing: Requires manual navigation for fields and pick-list selections.




Speed

Talking


Talking: AI-powered voice technology processes over **100 words per minute**, transforming speech into structured, actionable data across multiple fields.



Talking: AI adapts to complex workflows dynamically, reducing errors by correctly mapping data to fields like text, numbers, and checkboxes.



Talking: AI-powered voice solutions thrive in the field. Speak naturally, and inputs are automatically structured, even if you’re wearing gloves or are on the move.




Accuracy



Ease of use



Workflow



Talking: AI interprets inputs and organizes data accurately, seamlessly handling workflows with conditional logic, cascading options, and hidden fields — no user selections required.

And the winner is... talking!

With knockout speed, precision, and adaptability, AI-powered voice data collection is the undisputed champion of field data collection.

Ready to see the winner in action? **Schedule your free demo** of Fulcrum and its revolutionary Audio FastFill today!

